

# The $\gamma$ -transform: A New Approach to the Study of a Discrete and Finite Random Variable

**Fabio Grandi**

Dipartimento di Elettronica, Informatica e Sistemistica  
Università di Bologna, Viale Risorgimento 2, I-40136 Bologna, Italy

Tel: +39 (0)51 644.3555, Fax: +39 (0)51 644.3540

Email: [fgrandi@deis.unibo.it](mailto:fgrandi@deis.unibo.it)

## **Abstract**

A general method that can be used for the study of a discrete and finite random variable is presented. The method is based on the introduction of a transform of the probability density function, called  $\gamma$ -transform. A formula for computing the factorial moments directly from the  $\gamma$ -transform is derived. Moreover, it is shown how the  $\gamma$ -transform can be simply derived owing to its physical meaning for several combinatorial problems. Examples and applications are provided.

**Key Words.** transforms, combinatorial identities, discrete probability, factorial moments.

**AMS Subject Classifications.** 05A19, 60E10.

# 1 Introduction

Several modeling problems relevant for performance evaluation of information processing and retrieval systems [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 11, 12] imply the study of a discrete and finite random variable. Although such problems may allow a simple determination of the expected value of the random variable involved, the probability density function is usually difficult to compute and be handled for the evaluation of higher-order moments. As a matter of fact, even very simple problems yield complex probability distributions, involving alternating-sign summations with binomial coefficients, owing to their relationship with the *principle of inclusion and exclusion* [10]. The determination of the moments from such distributions is not straightforward; even the evaluation of the variance may result in a very hard task.

A common method for the study of a (non negative) discrete random variable  $X$  consists in using the *probability generating function*, defined as

$$(1) \quad G(z) = E[z^X] = \sum_{x \geq 0} z^x f(x),$$

where  $f(x)$  is the probability density function of  $X$ , and which can also be regarded as a *z-transform* of the function  $f(\cdot)$ . Using standard techniques,  $G(z)$  can be formally derived from the nature of the problem under study. Hence,  $f(x)$  and all the *factorial moments* of  $X$  can be computed thanks to:

$$(2) \quad f(x) = [z^x]G(z)$$

$$(3) \quad E[X^{\underline{m}}] = G^{(r)}(1),$$

where the notations  $[x^m]A$  and  $x^{\underline{m}}$  stand for the coefficient of  $x^m$  in  $A$  and for  $m$ -th falling factorial power of  $x$ , respectively.

One way to prove formula (3) is through Taylor series expansions. Since

$$(4) \quad f(x) = \frac{G^{(x)}(0)}{x!} = \frac{1}{x!} \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(-z)^j}{j!} G^{(x+j)}(z),$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[X^r] &= \sum_{x \geq r} \frac{x^r}{x!} \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(-z)^j}{j!} G^{(x+j)}(z) \\
 &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!} \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(-z)^j}{j!} G^{(r+i+j)}(z) \\
 (5) \qquad &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{G^{(r+i)}(0)}{i!} = G^{(r)}(1) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Although the probability generating function approach is a very general methodology, we put forward the hypothesis that it might not be the most convenient when dealing with a *finite* random variable, that takes values only in a finite set and, thus, has only a finite number of nonnull moments. We rather conjecture that a methodology based on a finite Newton series [8] (involving finite summations and differences) could be more appropriate than the above one based on a Taylor expansion (involving derivatives and formally infinite summations). The proof of this conjecture has been the main motivation of this work, which will show the practical consequences that arise from it.

Our approach is based on the introduction in §2 of a new transform, called  $\gamma$ -transform, which satisfies the above mentioned “finiteness” requirements. The adoption of the  $\gamma$ -transform as finite calculus’s answer to the probability generating function is the subject of §3: owing to a combinatorial identity demonstrated in §2, we will show how the new transform allows a fast determination of all the factorial moments of a discrete and finite random variable; moreover, the physical meaning of the new transform is explained, which will allow a direct derivation of its expression in the context of a given combinatorial problem. Examples and outstanding applications are presented in §4 and §5.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 The gamma-transform

Let  $f(\cdot)$  be a fixed function defined in  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , then its  $\gamma$ -transform is defined in  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  by:

$$(6) \quad \gamma(y) = \sum_{x=0}^n \frac{\binom{y}{x}}{\binom{n}{x}} f(x).$$

### 2.2 Antitransformation formula

The corresponding *inversion formula* is given by:

$$(7) \quad f(x) = \binom{n}{x} \sum_{j=0}^x (-1)^j \binom{x}{j} \gamma(x-j)$$

and can be demonstrated as follows. It can be observed from (6) that  $\gamma(y)$  is a polynomial function of degree  $n$  in  $y$  and, thus, it can be expressed it as a finite Newton series:

$$(8) \quad \gamma(y) = \sum_{x=0}^n \binom{y}{x} \Delta^x \gamma(0).$$

Comparing (6) with (8) yields:

$$(9) \quad f(x) = \binom{n}{x} \Delta^x \gamma(0).$$

Eq. (7) can easily be obtained from (9) when expliciting the  $x$ -th difference.

### 2.3 A combinatorial identity

A fundamental identity involving the  $\gamma$ -transform is the subject of the next Theorem.

**Theorem 1** *If  $f(\cdot)$  is a fixed function defined in  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , then the following combinatorial identity holds:*

$$(10) \quad \sum_{x=0}^n x^r f(x) = n^r \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \gamma(n-i),$$

where  $\gamma(\cdot)$  is the  $\gamma$ -transform of  $f(\cdot)$ .

**Proof** Owing to the definition of the  $r$ -th difference, the right-hand side of (10) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & n^{\underline{r}} \Delta^r \gamma(n-r) \\
 &= \sum_{x=0}^n n^{\underline{r}} \binom{n-r}{x-r} \Delta^x \gamma(0) \\
 (11) \quad &= \sum_{x=0}^n x^{\underline{r}} \binom{n}{x} \Delta^x \gamma(0)
 \end{aligned}$$

In the above, the first equality is obtained by computing  $\Delta^r \gamma(n-r)$  from Eq. (8). The final expression (11) equals the left-hand side of (10), thanks to Eq. (9).  $\square$

It can be noticed how (7) and (10) may actually represent finite calculus's counterpart of (4) and (5), respectively.

### 3 Probabilistic interpretation

#### 3.1 Evaluation of the moments

Let  $X$  be a discrete random variable with values in  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  and probability density function  $f(x)$ . All the moments of  $X$  can be computed from the  $\gamma$ -transform of  $f(\cdot)$  as stated by the following Corollary of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 1** *Given a discrete random variable  $X$  with values in  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , its  $r$ -th factorial moment is provided by:*

$$(12) \quad E[X^{\underline{r}}] = n^{\underline{r}} \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \gamma(n-i)$$

where  $\gamma(\cdot)$  is the gamma-transform of the probability density function of  $X$ .

**Proof** It immediately follows from the definition of the expected value and Theorem 1.  $\square$

Obviously, all the standard moments can be computed from (12) thanks to:

$$E[X^r] = \sum_{s=0}^r \left\{ \begin{matrix} r \\ s \end{matrix} \right\} E[X^s],$$

where  $\left\{ \begin{matrix} r \\ s \end{matrix} \right\}$  is a Stirling number of the second kind. For instance, this entails:

$$(13) \quad E[X] = n [1 - \gamma(n - 1)]$$

$$(14) \quad \sigma_X^2 = n^2 [\gamma(n - 2) - \gamma^2(n - 1)] + n [\gamma(n - 1) - \gamma(n - 2)],$$

which are very simple formulae.

### 3.2 Physical meaning

An important physical meaning can be given to the  $\gamma$ -transform of the probability density function of a discrete and finite random variable, as stated by the following Theorem.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $X$  be a random variable with values in  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  and probability density function  $f(x)$ .  $X$  can be regarded as the number of successes occurring in an experiment composed of a set  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $n$  indistinguishable trials, effected as if the successful trials were randomly selected in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$  be a subset of trials fixed before the experiment and let  $\Pr[\mathcal{Y}]$  be the probability that the experiment be effected as if the successes could only be selected from  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Then it can be shown that:*

$$\Pr[\mathcal{Y}] = \gamma(y),$$

where  $\gamma(\cdot)$  is the  $\gamma$ -transform of the probability density function of  $X$  and  $y$  is the cardinality of the set  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

**Proof** Since in general the experiment can provide any number  $X \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  of successes,  $\Pr[\mathcal{Y}]$  can be determined by means of the total probability Theorem as follows:

$$\Pr[\mathcal{Y}] = \sum_{x=0}^n \Pr[\mathcal{Y}|X = x] \Pr[X = x].$$

Since all the trials are indistinguishable and, thus,  $\binom{m}{x}$  is the number of ways of choosing the  $x$  successes in a set of  $m$  trials, we have:

$$\Pr[\mathcal{Y}] = \sum_{x=0}^n \frac{\binom{y}{x}}{\binom{n}{x}} f(x).$$

□

Moreover, also the inversion formula (7) can be proved with only probabilistic arguments, as shown in the following. Let  $\Pr[\mathcal{X}']$  be the probability that the successful trials only be selected in the set  $\mathcal{X}'$ , then as a consequence of the principle of inclusion and exclusion we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[X = x] &= \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \\ |\mathcal{X}|=x}} \left( \Pr[\mathcal{X}] - \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{X}' \subseteq \mathcal{X} \\ |\mathcal{X}'|=x-1}} \Pr[\mathcal{X}'] + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots + (-1)^{x-1} \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{X}' \subseteq \mathcal{X} \\ |\mathcal{X}'|=1}} \Pr[\mathcal{X}'] + (-1)^x \Pr[\emptyset] \right) \\ (15) \quad &= \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \\ |\mathcal{X}|=x}} \sum_{j=0}^x (-1)^j \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{X} \\ |\mathcal{J}|=j}} \Pr[\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{J}]. \end{aligned}$$

Owing to the physical meaning of  $\gamma(\cdot)$ , the probability  $\Pr[\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{J}]$  is exactly  $\gamma(x - j)$ . Hence, thanks to the indistinguishability of trials (summations reduce to counts of equal quantities), it can easily be verified that (15) equals the right-hand side of (7).

### 3.3 Relationship with $G(z)$

The following relationship between the  $\gamma$ -transform and the probability generating function  $G(z)$  can also be shown:

$$(16) \quad G(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} z^j (1-z)^{n-j} \gamma(j)$$

In order to prove it, it is sufficient to show that the density function (7) can be derived from (16) as  $f(x) = [z^x]G(z)$ . By means of the binomial Theorem and with simple manipulations, Equation (16) can be rewritten as:

$$G(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n z^i \binom{n}{i} \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^{i-j} \binom{i}{j} \gamma(j),$$

which evidences the  $[z^i]G(z)$  term.

An inverse relationship can be derived as follows. Since  $\gamma(y)$  is a non-decreasing function (with  $\gamma(0) = f(0)$  and  $\gamma(n) = 1$ ) and since from (16) we have:

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \gamma(j) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \gamma(n-j) = 2^n G(1/2),$$

where also  $G(1/2)$  is usually a function of  $n$ ; letting

$$g(x) = \Delta^x [2^n G(1/2)](0),$$

we can write:

$$\gamma(y) = \begin{cases} g(y) & \text{if } g(n) = 1 \\ g(n-y) & \text{if } g(0) = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Moreover, it can also be shown that the probability generating function approach can be derived as a limit of the  $\gamma$ -transform theory when the finite random variable involved is *not limited*. For instance, consider the  $\gamma$ -transform definition (6): since

$$\frac{\binom{y}{x}}{\binom{n}{n}} = \prod_{i=0}^{x-1} \frac{y/n - i/n}{1 - i/n},$$

we can let  $n, y \rightarrow \infty$  (maintaining constant the ratio  $y/n = z$ ) obtaining:

$$\lim_{n, y \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(y) = G(z)$$

owing to definition (1). All the other formulae concerning  $G(z)$  can also be obtained from the corresponding ones concerning  $\gamma(y)$  by taking the same limit. This is another point in favour of our initial conjecture.

## 4 Examples

Examples of application of the  $\gamma$ -transform approach are provided in this Section. Its use is shown here in evaluating the factorial moments of a random variable with well-known distributions.

### 4.1 Uniform distribution

Let  $X$  be uniformly distributed in  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ :

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

The  $\gamma$ -transform of the density function can be evaluated as:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(y) &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{x=0}^n \frac{\binom{y}{x}}{\binom{n}{x}} \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1-y}, \end{aligned}$$

owing to identity (5.33) of [8].

Applying Corollary 1 to compute the factorial moments, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} E[X^r] &= n^r \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \frac{1}{i+1} \\ &= \frac{n^r}{r+1}, \end{aligned}$$

as identity (5.41) of [8] can be used in the last step.

### 4.2 Binomial distribution

If we consider a random variable  $X$  following a binomial distribution:

$$f(x) = \binom{n}{x} p^x q^{n-x}.$$

(with  $p + q = 1$ ), we can easily obtain the  $\gamma$ -transform as:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(y) &= \sum_{x=0}^n \binom{y}{x} p^x q^{n-x} \\ &= q^{n-y},\end{aligned}$$

owing to the binomial Theorem.

Applying Corollary 1 we easily obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}E[X^r] &= n^r \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} (-q)^i \\ &= n^r p^r.\end{aligned}$$

### 4.3 Hypergeometric distribution

If  $X$  has a hypergeometric distribution:

$$f(x) = \frac{\binom{n}{x} \binom{N-n}{k-x}}{\binom{N}{k}}$$

we can easily compute the  $\gamma$ -transform:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(y) &= \frac{\sum_{x=0}^n \binom{y}{x} \binom{N-n}{k-x}}{\binom{N}{k}} \\ &= \frac{\binom{y+N-n}{k}}{\binom{N}{k}},\end{aligned}$$

owing to Vandermonde's convolution formula.

By applying Corollary 1 we obtain:

$$E[X^r] = n^r \frac{\sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \binom{N-i}{k}}{\binom{N}{k}}$$

$$= n^r \frac{\binom{N-r}{N-k}}{\binom{N}{k}} = r! \frac{\binom{n}{r} \binom{k}{r}}{\binom{N}{r}},$$

which is the value usually found in the literature.

#### 4.4 Beta-binomial distribution

If  $X$  is a beta-binomial random variable:

$$f(x) = \binom{n}{x} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(x + \alpha)\Gamma(n + \beta - x)}{\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta)},$$

we can compute the  $\gamma$ -transform of the density function as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(y) &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{x=0}^n \binom{y}{x} \frac{\Gamma(x + \alpha)\Gamma(n + \beta - x)}{\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)\Gamma(n + \beta - x)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta - x)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the above, the summation is a hypergeometric which can be evaluated as a Vandermonde's convolution [8] (also the one in the next paragraph).

The factorial moments of  $X$  can be computed as:

$$\begin{aligned} E[X^r] &= n^r \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \frac{\Gamma(\beta + i)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + i)} \\ &= n^r \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + r)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + r)}. \end{aligned}$$

## 5 Applications

The utility of the  $\gamma$ -transform approach lies in the fact that some estimation problems can be described by "complex" distributions which do in fact have a simple  $\gamma$ -transform. Not only are the moments easy to compute from the  $\gamma$ -transform in these cases, but also the  $\gamma$ -transform can be directly and easily derived from the nature of the problem. Outstanding application examples are reported below.

In general, since  $\gamma(y)$  is a probability, it can be noticed that it could also be expressed as:

$$(17) \quad \gamma(y) = \frac{\psi(y)}{\psi(n)},$$

where  $\psi(y)$  represents the *number of ways* in which the experiment considered could be effected by selecting the successes only in a subset of  $y$  trials. Furthermore, if the experiment considered is composed of  $m$  independent subexperiments,  $\gamma(y)$  can conveniently be expressed as:

$$(18) \quad \gamma(y) = \prod_{k=1}^m \gamma_k(y),$$

where  $\gamma_k(y)$  is the probability that the  $k$ -th subexperiment be effected by selecting the successes only in a subset of  $y$  trials (which is also independent of  $k$  if the subexperiments are indistinguishable). In this case, Eq. (17) and (18) can be combined yielding:

$$(19) \quad \gamma(y) = \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\psi_k(y)}{\psi_k(n)},$$

with an obvious meaning of  $\psi_k(\cdot)$ .

### 5.1 Set union problem

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a set with cardinality  $n$ , let  $\mathcal{S}_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq m$ ) be a random subset of  $\mathcal{N}$  with cardinality  $s_k$ , and  $X$  the random variable denoting the cardinality of the union set  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{k=1}^m \mathcal{S}_k$ .

Considering the inclusion of an element of  $\mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  to be a successful trial, the selections of the subsets  $\mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_m$  can be regarded as mutually independent subexperiments. The  $\gamma$ -transform of the probability density function of  $X$  can be derived according to Eq. (19), since  $\psi_k(y) = \binom{y}{s_k}$  is the number of ways in which the elements of  $\mathcal{S}_k$  can be selected only in a subset of  $\mathcal{N}$  with cardinality  $y$ , yielding:

$$\gamma(y) = \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\binom{y}{s_k}}{\binom{n}{s_k}}.$$

Therefore, the probability density function of  $X$  is:

$$(20) \quad f(x) = \binom{n}{x} \sum_{j=0}^x (-1)^j \binom{x}{j} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\binom{x-j}{s_k}}{\binom{n}{s_k}}.$$

By means of Corollary 1, we can easily derive the expected value and the variance of  $X$  as:

$$(21) \quad E[X] = n \left[ 1 - \prod_{k=1}^m \left( 1 - \frac{s_k}{n} \right) \right]$$

$$(22) \quad \sigma_X^2 = n^2 \left[ \prod_{k=1}^m \left( 1 - \frac{s_k}{n} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{s_k}{n-1} \right) - \prod_{k=1}^m \left( 1 - \frac{s_k}{n} \right)^2 \right] +$$

$$n \left[ \prod_{k=1}^m \left( 1 - \frac{s_k}{n} \right) - \prod_{k=1}^m \left( 1 - \frac{s_k}{n} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{s_k}{n-1} \right) \right]$$

Set union problems of interest for computer science are numerous. For instance,  $X$  can be regarded as the number of “1” bits in a binary word of  $n$  bits resulting from the inclusive “or” of  $m$  words, where  $s_k$  is the number of “1” bits in the  $k$ -th word to be “or”-ed. Thus, the set union problem is equivalent to the estimation of the signature weight as generated by the superimposed coding technique adopted in “multiple”  $m$  signature files [1]. The estimation is needed for performance evaluation of such organizations used for information retrieval applications. The equivalence of (20) with the density function published in [1] was shown in [7].

An interesting case also arises when  $s_k = s$  for each  $k$  (the subexperiments are indistinguishable), and  $X$  represents the number of “1” bits in the more “classical” superimposed codes adopted for information retrieval [11]. The density function and the expected value of  $X$  which can be derived in this way agree with those presented in [11].

Moreover, if  $s = 1$  then  $X$  represents the number of distinct objects selected in sampling with replacement  $m$  objects from a population of  $n$ . For example,  $X$  may represent the number of blocks accessed in a file (with a total number of  $n$  blocks) during the retrieval of  $m$  records that are not necessarily distinct. The expected value which

derives from (21) agrees with Cárdenas' formula [3]. For an expression of the underlying density function see, for instance, [4, 5]. A comparison of the  $\gamma$ -transform approach to this simple problem with alternative methods (namely combinatorial calculus, the principle of inclusion and exclusion, generating functions and Markov chains) can be found in [6]. Such a comparison highlights the valuability of the new approach from a practical point of view, as it saves heavy computations which are otherwise needed for the evaluation of the probability density function and of higher-order moments.

## 5.2 Group inclusion problem

An even more general problem with important applications to information processing is described in the following. Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a set with cardinality  $q$  composed of  $n$  groups of objects, each of size  $g$  (namely  $q = g n$ ). We now define  $X$  as the number of distinct groups represented by the elements included in the union  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{k=1}^m \mathcal{S}_k$ , where each  $\mathcal{S}_k$  is a random subset of  $\mathcal{Q}$  with cardinality  $s_k$ . From another point of view,  $X$  is the number of distinct elements in a random subset of a *multiset* in which all the  $n$  distinct objects appear  $g$  times.

In this case, Eq. (19) can still be used with  $\psi_k(y) = \binom{g y}{s_k}$ , yielding:

$$\gamma(y) = \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\binom{g y}{s_k}}{\binom{g n}{s_k}}$$

and, thus,

$$(23) \quad f(x) = \binom{n}{x} \sum_{j=0}^x (-1)^j \binom{x}{j} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\binom{g(x-j)}{s_k}}{\binom{g n}{s_k}}$$

$$(24) \quad E[X] = n \left[ 1 - \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\binom{q-g}{s_k}}{\binom{q}{s_k}} \right]$$

$$(25) \quad \sigma_X^2 = n^2 \left[ \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\binom{q-2g}{s_k}}{\binom{q}{s_k}} - \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\binom{q-g}{s_k}^2}{\binom{q}{s_k}^2} \right] + n \left[ \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\binom{q-g}{s_k}}{\binom{q}{s_k}} - \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\binom{q-2g}{s_k}}{\binom{q}{s_k}} \right]$$

An interesting case takes place when  $m = 1$  and  $X$  represents the number of blocks accessed in a file (with a total number of  $n$  blocks) during the retrieval of  $s_1$  distinct records. The expected value agrees with Yao's formula [12]. Derivations of the distribution of  $X$  in this case can be found, for instance, in [2, 4, 5].

## Acknowledgment

We thank Professor Paolo Ciaccia for all the helpful discussions had in the development of this work.

## References

- [1] D. AKTUG AND F. CAN, *Analysis of Multiterm Queries in a Dynamic Signature File Organization*, Proc. of 16th ACM-SIGIR Intl. Conf. (Pittsburgh, PA), 1993, 96–105.
- [2] D. BITTON AND D.J. DEWITT, *Duplicate Record Elimination in Large Data Files*, ACM Trans. Database Syst. **8** (2), 1983, 255–265.
- [3] A.F. CÁRDENAS, *Analysis and Performance of Inverted Database Structures*, Comm. ACM **18** (5), 1975, 253–263.
- [4] P. CIACCIA, D. MAIO AND P. TIBERIO, *A Unifying Approach to Evaluating Block Accesses in Database Organizations*, Inform. Process. Lett. **28** (5), 1988, 253–257.

- [5] D. GARDY AND C. PUECH, *On the Sizes of Projections: a Generating Function Approach*, Inform. Systems **9** (3/4), 1984, 231–235.
- [6] F. GRANDI, *Advanced Access Cost Models for Databases*, Ph. D. Thesis, Department of Electronics Computer Science and Systems, University of Bologna, Italy, 1994 (*in Italian*).
- [7] F. GRANDI, *On the Signature Weight in “multiple” m Signature Files*, preprint, submitted for publication, 1994.
- [8] R.L. GRAHAM, D.E. KNUTH AND O. PATASHNIK, *Concrete Mathematics*, Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1990.
- [9] E. S. MURPHREE AND D. AKTUG, *Derivation of Probability Distribution of the Weight of the Query Signature*, preprint, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Miami University, Oxford, Ohio, 1992.
- [10] J. RIORDAN, *An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis*, New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, 1958.
- [11] C.S. ROBERTS, *Partial Match Retrieval via the Method of Superimposed Codes*, Proc. IEEE **67** (12), 1979, 1624–1642.
- [12] S.B. YAO, *Approximating Block Accesses in Database Organizations*, Comm. ACM **20** (4), 1977, 260–261.